EFFECTS OF DRYING SHRINKAGE ON SHEAR TENSION STRENGTH OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

HIKOTSUGU HYODO¹, RYOICHI SATO², KENJI KAWAI² AND HIROKI NAKAYAMA²

¹Taiheiyo Cement Corporation, Research & Development Center, 2-4-2, Osaku, Sakura City, Chiba Prefecture, Japan e-mail: hikotsugu_hyoudou@taiheiyo-cement.co.jp, www.taiheiyo-cement.co.jp

²Hiroshima University, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, 1-4-1, Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan e-mail: sator@hiroshima-u.ac.jp, www.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Key words: Shear tension strength, Diagonal tension failure, Reinforced concrete beam, Size effect, Drying shrinkage, Equivalent tension reinforcement ratio

Abstract: The effects of drying shrinkage on shear tension strength as well as size effect of reinforced normal strength-high shrinkage concrete (RC) beams were investigated. Twenty four RC beams with effective depths of 250, 500 and 1000 mm and without web reinforcement were prepared, where half of the beams were sealed and the others were exposed to drying. The loading test results showed that the shear tension strength of drying beams was lower by up to 17% compared with that of sealed beams and the size effect of shear tension strength became more sensitive due to drying shrinkage of concrete. In addition, a new concept based on equivalent tension reinforcement ratio incorporating the effect of compression strain in reinforcement before loading due to drying shrinkage in concrete was effective in evaluating not only the shear tension strength but also size effect independent of shrinkage effect.

1 INTRODUCTION

Drying shrinkage of concrete often induces cracking in reinforced concrete (RC) members. which may result in deterioration of durability in concrete structures. Therefore, the mechanisms of drying shrinkage, risk of cracking, control method of shrinkage, and so on have been studied by many researchers. Moreover, drying shrinkage is one of major factors affecting crack width, deflections, losses in prestress, as well as bending moment statically-indeterminate and forces in structures.

On the other hand, in the case of shrinkage effect on ultimate strength, it was reported that restrained stress and cracks due to drying shrinkage hardly affected the flexural capacity, because flexural failure occurs after yielding of reinforcement, and the strain of steel bars at failure is much larger than shrinkage strain in concrete[1]. On the contrary, shear failure often occurs when the strain of reinforcing steel bars is at an elastic stage. In this respect, it is thought that shear strength could be affected by shrinkage strain in concrete.

Recently, the second author reported that diagonal cracking strength of reinforced high strength concrete beams decreased due to the effect of autogenous shrinkage and proposed a new design equation for estimating shear strength, which was formulated based on a concept of "equivalent tension reinforcement ratio" considering the strain change of tension reinforcing bars before and after loading[2].

| Materials | Symbol | Type / Characteristics |
|---------------------|--------|--|
| Water | W | Industrial water |
| Cement | С | Ordinary Portland cement / Density: 3.16g/cm ³ |
| Expansive admixture | EX | Lime based / Density: 3.16g/cm ³ |
| Fine aggregate | S | Crushed sand / Density: 2.65g/cm ³ , Absorption: 1.86% |
| Coarse aggregate | G | Crushed stone / Density: 2.69g/cm ³ , Water Absorption: 0.89%, Maximum size: 20mm |
| Chemical admixture | - | Polycarboxylic AE high-range water reducing agent |
| | | |

 Table 1: Materials.

| Table 2: Mixture | proportions. |
|------------------|--------------|
|------------------|--------------|

| Curing | W/B | Unit content (kg/m ³) | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-----|----|-----|------|--|--|
| condition | (%) | W | C | EX | S | G | | |
| Seal / Dry | 50 | 170 | 340 | - | 832 | 977 | | |
| Dry | 35 | 170 | 486 | - | 686 | 1001 | | |
| Seal | 35 | 170 | 466 | 20 | 686 | 1001 | | |

This study aims at investigating whether drying shrinkage also affects shear tension strength as well as size effect of reinforced normal strength-high shrinkage concrete beams without web reinforcement.

2 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

2.1 Materials and Mixture proportions

Table 1 lists the types of materials used in this study. **Table 2** tabulates the mixture proportions of the concretes prepared in this study. Ordinary Portland cement (N) was used as the cement. Crushed sand and stone were used as fine (S) and coarse aggregates (G) respectively. The maximum particle size of the coarse aggregate was 20mm. The water-to-binder ratio (W/B) was set at 50% and 35%. An expansive additive (EX) of 20kg/m³ was used in the concrete with W/B of 35% to reduce autogenous shrinkage.

High strength deformed steel bar $(f_y \ge 1080 \text{N/mm}^2)$ was used to induce shear failure in reinforced concrete before yielding of tension reinforcing bars.

2.2 Specimens

Table 3 outlines dimensions of the RC beams. The size and dimension of RC beams as well as main reinforcing bars used in the present study are shown in **Figure 1**. Three different sizes of RC beams without web reinforcement were prepared in order to

investigate the size effect on shear tension strength. The effective depths of RC beams were 250mm, 500mm and 1000mm. The nominal tension reinforcement ratio (A_s/bd) was 1.03%-1.06%. All the specimens were designed to fail in shear.

In order to evaluate the effect of drying shrinkage on shear tension strength, the specimens were cured in sealed and drying conditions. After demolding at the age of 7 days, half of the beams were immediately sealed with aluminum adhesive tape to prevent drying through evaporation, while the others was exposed to drying in the laboratory, until the loading test age. The relative humidity on average during the curing period was between 64.4% and 66.3%. The names of specimens consists of the curing condition (S: seal, D: dry), W/B (50%, 35%), the effective depth (250mm, 500mm, 1000mm), and the use of expansive additive (EX), in that order. Two RC beams of the same size and the same curing condition were prepared for each concrete type.

Cylindrical specimens of dimensions $\varphi 100 \times 200 \text{mm}$ for compressive strength and Young's modulus, as well as those of dimensions $\varphi 150 \times 200 \text{mm}$ for splitting tensile strength were prepared. In addition, prismatic specimens of dimensions $100 \times 100 \times 400 \text{mm}$ for fracture energy test of concrete were also prepared. All the specimens were cured in the same conditions as the RC beams.

| W/B (%) | Curing condition | Name of specimens | b (mm) | h (mm) | L (mm) | c (mm) | a (mm) | d (mm) | a/d | p_s (%) |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------|
| 50 | | S50-250-A,B | - 300 | 305 | 2300 | 200 | 750 | 250 | 3.0 | 1.03 (2D22) |
| | Seal | S50-500-A,B | | 580 | 4500 | 500 | 1500 | 500 | | 1.06 (2D32) |
| | | S50-1000-A,B | | 1130 | 7500 | 500 | 3000 | 1000 | | 1.06 (4D32) |
| | Dry | D50-250-A,B | | 305 | 2300 | 200 | 750 | 250 | | 1.03 (2D22) |
| | | D50-500-A,B | | 580 | 4500 | 500 | 1500 | 500 | | 1.06 (2D32) |
| | | D50-1000-A,B | | 1130 | 7500 | 500 | 3000 | 1000 | | 1.06 (4D32) |
| | | S35-250EX-A,B | | 305 | 2300 | 200 | 750 | 250 | | 1.03 (2D22) |
| | Seal | S35-500EX-A,B | | 580 | 4500 | 500 | 1500 | 500 | | 1.06 (2D32) |
| 35 - | | S35-1000EX-A,B | | 1130 | 7500 | 500 | 3000 | 1000 | | 1.06 (4D32) |
| | Dry | D35-250-A,B | | 305 | 2300 | 200 | 750 | 250 | | 1.03 (2D22) |
| | | D35-500-A,B | | 580 | 4500 | 500 | 1500 | 500 | | 1.06 (2D32) |
| | | D35-1000-A,B | | 1130 | 7500 | 500 | 3000 | 1000 | | 1.06 (4D32) |

Table 3: Outline of RC beams.

b: Width of beam, *h*: Height of beam, *L*: Length of beam, *c*: Distance between two loading point, *a*: Shear span, *d*: Effective depth (distance from the compression fiber to the centroid of the tension reinforcing bars), p_s : Tension reinforcement ratio(= A_s/bd), A_s : Nominal cross-section area of tension reinforcement



Figure 1: Dimensions and configuration of reinforced concrete beam (Unit: mm).

2.3 Loading and measurement

Loading tests were conducted when reinforcement strain of dried beams reached about 250 x 10^{-6} in compression. All the RC beams were loaded monotonically with two incremental concentrated loads, as shown in **Figure 1**. The shear span length to effective depth ratio was fixed at 3. Deflection at the center section of the RC beam was measured using displacement transducers. Strain in tension reinforcing bar at the center section of span was measured by wire strain gauges just after concrete placement until completion of the loading tests.

The compressive strength, splitting tensile strength, Young's modulus, and fracture energy of concrete were measured at the age of loading test for RC beams. The fracture energy of concrete was determined from the loadcrack mouth opening displacement curves of notched beam under three point loading in accordance with JCI standard (JCI-S-001-2003) [3].

| Name of | Loading age | f_c | f_t | E_{c} | G_{f} | l_{ch} |
|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| specimens | (Days) | (N/mm^2) | (N/mm^2) | (kN/mm^2) | (N/mm) | (mm) |
| S50-250 | 92 | 38.9 | 3.2 | 25.0 | 0.15 | 367 |
| S50-500 | 112 | 39.3 | 3.2 | 25.0 | 0.16 | 374 |
| S50-1000 | 112 | 39.2 | 3.2 | 25.0 | 0.16 | 380 |
| D50-250 | 125 | 40.1 | 3.2 | 21.5 | 0.20 | 421 |
| D50-500 | 288 | 40.8 | 3.3 | 21.5 | 0.20 | 397 |
| D50-1000 | 288 | 40.8 | 3.3 | 21.5 | 0.20 | 397 |
| S35-250EX | 141 | 53.5 | 4.0 | 29.4 | 0.18 | 325 |
| S35-500EX | 198 | 53.5 | 4.0 | 29.4 | 0.17 | 310 |
| S35-1000EX | 198 | 53.5 | 4.0 | 29.4 | 0.17 | 310 |
| D35-250 | 210 | 47.1 | 3.2 | 23.3 | 0.22 | 493 |
| D35-500 | 283 | 47.1 | 3.2 | 23.3 | 0.16 | 353 |
| D35-1000 | 283 | 47.1 | 3.2 | 23.3 | 0.16 | 353 |

 Table 4: Mechanical properties of concrete at the age of loading test.

 f_c : Compressive strength, f_t : Splitting tensile strength, E_c : Young's modulus, G_f : Fracture energy, l_{ch} : Characteristic length (= $E_c G_f / f_t^2$)

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Mechanical properties of concrete

Table 4 tabulates mechanical properties of concrete at the age of loading test. The compressive strength, splitting tensile strength and fracture energy of concrete were not significantly different, regardless of the curing condition. On the other hand, it was observed that the Young's modulus of the concretes under drying conditions decreased by more than 15% compared with that of the sealed concretes.

3.2 Deformation behavior of concrete and RC beams

Table 5 lists the strain in reinforcing bar and stress in concrete at the bottom of RC beams before loading induced by shrinkage. The stress in concrete at the bottom due to restraint of reinforcing bars was determined using Eq. (1).

$$\sigma_{c} = -\frac{P_{s}}{A_{c}} \left[1 + \frac{A_{c}(d - C_{g})(h - C_{g})}{I_{c}} \right]$$
(1)
$$P_{s} = A_{s} \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{s}$$

Where:

 P_s : Force in the tensile reinforcing bars,

- *A_c*, *I_c*: Cross-section area and moment of inertia of concrete in section of beam,
- *A_s*: Cross-section area of tension reinforcing bars,
- *E_s*: Young's modulus of tension reinforcing bars,
- ε_s : Shrinkage induced strain in tension reinforcing bars,
- σ_c : Stress of concrete at bottom,
- C_g : Distance from compressive fiber to centroid of concrete section.

The difference of the compression strain in reinforcing bars at the loading test between the drying and sealed beams ranged from approximately 200 x 10^{-6} to 300 x 10^{-6} . Moreover, the tensile stress in the concrete of the drying beams was 1.3 N/mm² on average, while that of the sealed beams was almost zero.

3.3 Effect of drying shrinkage on shear tension strength

As a typical example, the relationship between load and deflection of RC beams with the effective depth 1000mm are shown in **Figure 2**. The flexural cracking load of the drying beams was clearly lower than that of the sealed beams, because of the tensile stress introduced by drying shrinkage of concrete. It was also observed that induced stresses resulting from drying shrinkage reduced not only the stiffness but also the diagonal

| W/B | Name of | At the age | of loading | Shear tensi | Failure | |
|-----|--------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|------|
| (%) | specimen | \mathcal{E}_{s,def_6} (×10 ⁻⁶) | $\sigma_{c,def}$ (N/mm ²) | V_c (kN) | (N/mm^2) | mode |
| | S50-250-A | -24 | 0.1 | 80 | 1.07 | DT |
| | S50-250-В | -26 | 0.1 | 88 | 1.17 | DT |
| | S50-500-A | -39 | 0.2 | 130 | 0.87 | DT |
| | S50-500-В | -47 | 0.2 | 149 | 0.99 | DT |
| | S50-1000-A | -73 | 0.4 | 238 | 0.79 | DT |
| 50 | S50-1000-В | -57 | 0.3 | 232 | 0.77 | DT |
| 30 | D50-250-A | -291 | 1.0 | 79 | 1.05 | DT |
| | D50-250-B | -288 | 1.0 | 78 | 1.04 | DT |
| | D50-500-A | -302 | 1.3 | 140 | 0.94 | DT |
| | D50-500-B | -307 | 1.3 | 131 | 0.87 | DT |
| | D50-1000-A | -234 | 1.1 | 208 | 0.69 | DT |
| | D50-1000-B | -246 | 1.2 | 208 | 0.69 | DT |
| | S35-250EX-A | -56 | 0.2 | 112 | 1.49 | DT |
| | S35-250EX-B | -71 | 0.3 | 109 | 1.45 | DT |
| | S35-500EX-A | -4 | 0.0 | 152 | 1.01 | DT |
| | S35-500EX-B | -30 | 0.1 | 155 | 1.05 | DT |
| | S35-1000EX-A | 2 | 0.0 | 242 | 0.81 | DT |
| 35 | S35-1000EX-B | -23 | 0.1 | 250 | 0.83 | DT |
| | D35-250-A | -405 | 1.4 | 96 | 1.28 | DT |
| | D35-250-B | -409 | 1.4 | 90 | 1.20 | DT |
| | D35-500-A | -376 | 1.6 | 150 | 1.00 | DT |
| | D35-500-B | -321 | 1.4 | 161 | 1.07 | DT |
| | D35-1000-A | -329 | 1.6 | 224 | 0.75 | DT |
| | D35-1000-B | -318 | 1.5 | 182 | 0.61 | DT |

 Table 5: Outline of loading test results.

 $\varepsilon_{s,def}$: Tension reinforcement strain induced by shrinkage of concrete(+: tension, -: compression), $\sigma_{c,def}$: Stress in concrete at the bottom of RC beams induce by shrinkage, V_c : Shear force at diagonal cracking, τ_c : Shear strength at diagonal cracking (V_c/bd), DT: Diagonal tension



Figure 2: Load and deflection relation (d=1000mm).

cracking load of RC beams.

A summary of loading test results for each beam is given in **Table 5**, which shows the shear tension strength and the failure mode of all the RC beams. The shear tension strength was determined from the load-deflection relationship. All beams failed in diagonal tension before yielding of tension reinforcing bars. Almost all the drying beams showed a decrease in shear tension strength by up to 17% compared with the sealed beams. This is similar to what was observed in the case of



Figure 4: Relationship between effective depth and shear tension strength.

high strength concrete beams in the previous study[2]. The decrease was explained by increase of reinforcement strain change before and after loading, because the reinforcement was compressed by autogenous shrinkage of concrete, which resulted in the increase of flexural and shear crack widths.

Figure 3 shows typical examples of crack patterns in sealed and drying beams at the same nominal shear stress, in which the beams are represented as equally large, in spite of their different dimension. As is shown in this figure, cracks of the drying beam propagates deeper toward compression fiber probably resulting in the reduction of thickness of compression concrete which is one of major shear resisting components.

3.4 Size effect on shear tension strength

Figure 4 shows that the relationship between the shear tension strength and the effective depth of the sealed and drying beams, in which the regression curves for both beams obtained by the method of least squares are also shown. According to this figure (see Figure 4(a)), the size dependency of the shear tension strength became more sensitive due to the drying shrinkage of concrete, and the power of the effective depth(d) for the sealed and the drying beams were -1/4 and -1/3, respectively. The same tendency was also observed in RC beams of W/B of 35% (see Figure 4(b)), in which the shear strength depends on $d^{-2/5}$ for sealed beams and $d^{-1/2}$ for drving beams.



Figure 5: Concept of strain change in tension reinforcement due to drying shrinkage.



Figure 6: Shear tension strength based on equivalent tension reinforcement ratio.

3.5 A new concept for evaluating shear tension strength

The compression strain in tension reinforcing bars is produced by drying shrinkage before loading, for this reason the magnitude of strain change in drying beam is larger than that of sealed beam, as shown in Figure 5, which is a conceptual diagram of strain change of reinforcement before and after loading for both beams. The increase of strain change should be functionally equivalent to the decrease of reinforcement ratio. Based on this hypothesis, a new concept of the equivalent tension reinforcement ratio given by the following Eq.(2) was proposed for estimating the effect of autogenous shrinkage on the shear strength in high-strength concrete beams[2]. This concept is applicable to evaluate shear tension strength of RC beams before yielding of reinforcement. Additionally, a similar concept for predicting flexural crack width and curvature of RC beams considering the concrete shrinkage before loading was already proposed. It was verified that the proposed concept improved the prediction accuracy compared with the conventional equation [4].

$$p_{s,e} = \frac{\varepsilon_s}{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_{s0,def}} p_s \tag{2}$$

Where:

- $p_{s,e}$: Equivalent tension reinforcement ratio
- p_s : Nominal tension reinforcement ratio,
- $\varepsilon_{\rm s}$: Tension reinforcement strain at the section 1.5d (d: effective depth) distant from loading section in shear span at the diagonal cracking,
- $\mathcal{E}_{s0,def}$: Tension reinforcement strain when concrete stress at the depth of reinforcement is zero, which is positive in tension and negative in compression.

Figure 6 shows the relationship between the effective depth and the shear tension strength obtained by Eq.(3) based on the equivalent tension reinforcement, in which the shear strength is assumed to be proportional to 1/3 power of reinforcement ratio in accordance with JSCE design code[5].

$$\tau_{c,e} = \tau_c \times \left(\frac{p_s}{p_{se}}\right)^{1/3} \tag{3}$$

According to this figure, it is found that the concept the equivalent of tension reinforcement ratio is effective in evaluating not only the shear tension strength but also the size dependence independent of the shrinkage effect. The shear strength of RC beams of W/B50% and W/B35% followed to approximately -1/4 and -2/5 power of the effective depth, respectively.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The effects of drying shrinkage on shear tension strength as well as its size effect of reinforced normal strength-high shrinkage concrete beams without web reinforcement were experimentally investigated. The following conclusion can be drawn within the limit of the present study.

 The shear tension strength of reinforced concrete beams exposed to drying condition decreased by 17% in case of effective depth(d) of 1000mm compared with that of companion sealed beams.

- (2) The size effect of shear tension strength of drying beams became more sensitive than that of sealed beams due to the shrinkage effect, which depended on $d^{-1/4}$ and $d^{-1/3}$ for sealed and drying beams with W/B50%, and $d^{-2/5}$ and $d^{-1/2}$ for those with W/B35%, respectively.
- (3) A concept based on equivalent tension reinforcement ratio incorporating the effect of strain change in reinforcement before loading due to shrinkage in concrete was effective in evaluating not only the shear tension strength but also the size dependence independent of the shrinkage effect.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research presented in this paper was supported by the grant-in-aid for scientific research (A) No.21246071 from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Hasegawa, H. Seki, 1984. Effects of cracking due to drying shrinkage on reinforced concrete member, *Proceedings* of the Japan Concrete Institute Vol.6, pp.617-620.(in Japanese)
- [2] R. Sato, H. Kawakane, 2008. A new concept for the early age shrinkage effect on diagonal cracking strength of reinforced HSC beams, *Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology Vol.6*, No.1, pp.45-67.(invited)
- [3] JCI, 2001. Technical report on test method of concrete fracture.
- [4] M.Tanimura, R. Sato, Y. Hiramatsu, 2007. Serviceability Performance Evaluation of RC Flexural Members Improved by Using Low-Shrinkage High-Strength Concrete, *Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology Vol.5*, No.2, pp.149-160.
- [5] JSCE, 2007. Standard Specifications for Concrete Structures-2007 "Design".